## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

HENRY T. VALENTINE, JR.,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:04cv79 (Maxwell)

JO ANNE B. BARNHART, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

Defendant.

## **ORDER**

On March 9, 2005, the Court referred this Social Security action to United States Magistrate Judge John S. Kaull, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 4.01(d), with directions to consider the same and to submit to the Court proposed findings of fact and a recommendation for disposition. On January 5, 2006, Magistrate Judge Kaull filed his Report and Recommendation wherein the parties were directed, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), to file with the Clerk of Court any written objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy of the Report and Recommendation. No objections have been filled.

Upon examination of the report from the Magistrate Judge, it appears to the Court that the issues raised in the cross motions for summary judgment were thoroughly considered by Magistrate Judge Kaull in his Report and Recommendation. The Court, upon an independent <u>de novo</u> consideration of all matters now before it, is of the opinion that the Report and Recommendation accurately reflects the law applicable to the facts and circumstances before the Court in this action. Therefore, it is

ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Kaull's Report and Recommendation be, and the

same hereby is, accepted in whole and that this civil action be disposed of in accordance

with the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment be, and the same

hereby is, **GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART**. It is further

**ORDERED** that the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment be, and the same

hereby is, **GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART**. It is further

**ORDERED** that this matter is **REMANDED** to the Commissioner pursuant to the

fourth sentence of 42 U.S.C. §405(g) for further action in accordance with the Report and

Recommendation. In accordance with Shalala v. Schaefer, 113 S.Ct. 2625 (1993), it is

further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment reversing the decision of the

Defendant and remanding the case to the Defendant for further proceedings and shall

thereafter **DISMISS** this action from the docket of the Court.

Counsel for the Plaintiff is advised that an application for attorney's fees under the

Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), if one is to be submitted, must be filed within 90 days

from the date of the judgment order.

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter a separate judgment order and to send a copy

of this Order to all counsel of record.

**Enter:** January <u>23<sup>rd</sup></u>, 2006

/s/ Robert E. Maxwell

United States District Judge

2